# Wisconsin Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Prevalence Estimates

**Executive Summary** 

February, 2001



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MEDICAL SCHOOL

Department of Preventive Medicine

**Center for Health Policy and Program Evaluation** 

Kevin Welch, Ph.D. Michael Quirke, MSW D. Paul Moberg, Ph.D.

Funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Abstract: This document summarizes available alcohol and other drug abuse (AODA) prevalence estimation data for the state of Wisconsin and its counties. Both the estimation techniques used and the findings are presented for use by state, county, and HMO planners and policy makers to guide resource allocation decisions.

#### INTRODUCTION

Each year in Wisconsin there are 1,300 deaths, 6,800 traffic injuries, 8,500 traffic crashes, 2.400 substantiated cases of child abuse or neglect, 90,000 arrests, and economic costs exceeding \$4.6 billion dollars, all attributed to substance abuse. Alcohol and other drug abuse (AODA) is the fourth leading cause of death in Wisconsin behind heart disease, cancer and stroke and it is the fourth leading reason for hospitalization behind mental illness, heart disease, and cancer. Wisconsin ranks first in the nation in the rate of drinkers and those who consume at least five drinks on an occasion. In light of these vast consequences, this report will provide planners and policy makers with useful information on the prevalence of alcohol and other drug abuse (i.e. those having treatment needs) among Wisconsin residents.

### SURVEY ESTIMATES OF AODA IN WISCONSIN

Several studies were considered in estimating Wisconsin's AODA prevalence.

### State Treatment Needs Assessment Program Telephone Survey (STNAP)

This Wisconsin survey was a component of the State Treatment Needs Assessment Program, administered by the state Bureau of Substance Abuse Services. The goal of this survey was to provide a benchmark estimate of the proportion of the state population that could be classified as "dependent" or "abusing" alcohol or other drugs according to DSM-IIIR diagnostic criteria. This estimate could be used on state block grant application forms as a measure of the need for AODA treatment in Wisconsin. The adult sample, which included an oversample for minorities, contained 8,524 respondents.

### National Household Survey on Drug Abuse (NHSDA)

The NHSDA has been conducted annually since 1971 and is an ongoing survey of the civilian, non-institutionalized population of the

United States aged 12 years and over. It has always been a face-to-face interview. The eight largest states (Wisconsin was not included) were designated as "large sample" states where sufficient samples could be surveyed to provide direct estimates of substance abuse within the state. Smaller samples were drawn in the remaining 42 states and small area estimation techniques were used to estimate substance abuse indirectly. Within each small state a stratified random sample of between 756 and 1,280 respondents was drawn and interviewed during 1999. The size of the Wisconsin sample in this survey renders it somewhat inferior to the STNAP survey.

## Wisconsin Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (BRFS)

The BRFS is conducted annually by the state Department of Health and Family Services. The survey is part of the national Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System which is coordinated by the federal Centers for Disease Control. The BRFS is a telephone interview survey covering a stratified random sample of the adult civilian non-institutionalized population. The focus is on a broad range of self-reported health risk factors, including alcohol use, cigarette smoking, weight, diabetes, cholesterol, physical activity and diet. In 1998, the most recent year for which survey data are available, 2,205 adults aged 18 and over were interviewed. A summary of that year's data may be found in a Division of Health Care Financing report (1998).

#### **Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependency**

While "abuse" and "dependency" have separate and unique medical definitions, for the purposes of this report, both disorders are considered treatable illnesses and are defined as follows:

A maladaptive pattern of substance use leading to clinically significant impairment in physical, psychological, interpersonal, and vocational functioning.

The three above-referenced surveys were reviewed for comparability prior to the computation of the prevalence estimates. Data in Table 1 are estimates of the rate of substance dependence from the NHSDA Wisconsin estimates and the Wisconsin STNAP survey. The reasons for their small differences have more to do with sampling variance, the questionnaire used, the mode of survey administration, and the statistical models used to compute the rates, than a real difference in actual rates of substance dependence.

**Table 1: Substance Dependence Prevalence Rate Estimates from Two of the Surveys** 

Age Group	NHSDA (1999)	STNAP (1997)
12-17	6.4%	5.0%
18-25	12.6%	12.7%
26 and older	3.1%	5.1%
Total	4.7%	5.6%

#### ANNUAL PREVALENCE ESTIMATES

AODA treatment in Wisconsin is largely the responsibility of the counties with funding assistance and oversight by the State. Estimates of AODA prevalence are difficult to come by at the county level. Taking an annual survey of sufficient size to be representative is very costly. With the exception of Milwaukee County, even the STNAP survey mentioned earlier had too small a sample size to determine the AODA treatment need in every county. Some counties were represented by only a few dozen respondents which is far too small for this purpose.

A small-area estimation technique known as composite estimation is a useful alternative. This method is a composite or average of two or more estimates known to have some degree of accuracy. In this study, the composite prevalence estimate is an average of the following two estimation techniques derived from the STNAP survey.

The first method assigns to each county a projected (synthetic) treatment need estimate based on the county's age and gender

composition. From the original STNAP survey, substance dependence and abuse percentages are computed for males and females in four age groups (18-24, 25-44, 45-64 and 65 and over). These percentages are then multiplied by the number of residents in each county that fall into each of these age groupings. County population data is provided by Census Bureau projections for July, 2000.

The second method, called direct estimation, uses the STNAP survey data to estimate the number of residents in each county that are alcohol or substance dependent. This is done by either using the results from the respondents surveyed from that county, as in the case of Milwaukee County, or by logically grouping counties thereby increasing the sample to be analyzed. Counties with small populations were grouped together according to criteria provided by the state Bureau of Health Care Information. This second technique is discussed in more detail in *An Integrative Analysis and Summary* by the same authors.

The final composite estimates are simply the average for each county of the synthetic and direct estimates. This procedure yields the county and state composite prevalence estimates found in Table 2. The composite estimate has better statistical properties than either the synthetic or direct estimates alone and provides the best projection of the extent of AODA prevalence among the adult population in Wisconsin. At the time of this writing, the Census 2000 data was released for the total state population (not counties) of Wisconsin. As a result, it is likely that the prevalence figures in Table 2 are about 2 percent lower than if we had been able to use the Census 2000 data.

#### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The research presented here is preliminary, however, it does point to the need for outreach and the expansion of treatment capacity in both the public and private sectors. Future reports will elaborate on the composite estimation

technique. The following are of particular interest:

- Future reports will incorporate race and ethnicity into the analysis.
- An adolescent survey, which was conducted at the same time as the STNAP survey, will be used for composite estimation of adolescent treatment need.
- An alternative scheme for weighting direct and synthetic estimates will be developed.
- Census 2000 figures will be used.
- Relevant prevalence data from the NHSDA will be compared and used as it becomes available.
- The gap between met and unmet treatment need will be analyzed.

#### **SOURCES**

This report summarizes a more complete report written by K. Welch, M. Quirke and D. P. Moberg titled "Wisconsin Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Prevalence Estimates: Final Report." The direct estimation technique is described more fully in a report entitled "The Wisconsin Needs Assessment Project: An Integrative Analysis", October 1999, by K. Welch, et. al. Readers wishing more detail may request copies from the Bureau of Substance Abuse Services at the address listed below, or from:

University of Wisconsin Center for Health Policy and Program Evaluation 502 N. Walnut St., Madison, WI 53705.

Additional copies of this report are available from Bureau of Substance Abuse Services 1 W. Wilson St. #437 P.O. Box 7851 Madison WI 53707

#### **Adult Substance Abuse Prevalence Estimates: 2000**

	Adult	Composite	Composite
County	Population Population	Rate	Estimate
A 1	1.4056	0 50/	1271
A d a m s A s h l a n d	1 4 9 5 6 1 2 3 8 5	8 . 5 % 1 0 . 7 %	1 2 7 1 1 3 2 1
Barron	3 2 0 8 2	10.0%	3 2 1 3
Bayfield	1 1 3 3 4	10.1%	1 1 4 8
Brown Buffalo	157997 10321	1 0 . 5 % 1 2 . 1 %	1 6 5 5 0 1 2 5 2
Burnett	10809	9.4%	1017
C a l u m e t	26435	10.8%	2844
C h i p p e w a	40332	10.1%	4076
Clark Columbia	2 3 4 7 2 3 6 3 5 4	1 0 . 1 % 1 1 . 3 %	2 3 6 6 4 1 0 7
Crawford	12000	9.9%	1192
D a n e	3 1 9 7 4 0	10.4%	3 3 2 9 0
D o d g e	61538	10.6%	6541
Door Douglas	20761	1 0 . 0 % 1 0 . 4 %	2 0 8 5 3 3 3 4
D u n n	29943	11.4%	3 4 2 0
Eau Claire	69896	9.1%	6359
Florence	4161	11.5%	478
Fond du Lac Forest	7 0 1 4 0 6 6 6 1	1 0 . 3 % 1 1 . 2 %	7 2 1 5 7 4 9
Grant	38906	10.8%	4190
Green	2 3 0 9 7	11.2%	2597
Green Lake Iowa	1 4 7 4 2 1 5 5 5 1	1 3 . 1 % 1 0 . 2 %	1 9 2 8 1 5 9 1
Iowa Iron	5171	9.8%	5 0 7
Jackson	1 3 0 8 6	12.2%	1 5 9 5
Jefferson	5 5 0 4 3	10.7%	5901
Juneau Kenosha	1 7 6 0 7 1 0 4 3 4 7	8 . 9 % 1 0 . 8 %	1559 11319
Kenosna Kewaunee	14552	10.8%	1530
La Crosse	8 0 0 7 4	12.9%	1 0 3 3 7
Lafayette	11883	10.2%	1 2 0 9
Langlade Lincoln	1 5 7 1 4 2 1 6 3 4	1 1 . 3 % 1 1 . 5 %	1 7 7 2 2 4 9 6
M a n i t o w o c	62949	8.8%	5533
Marathon	9 1 4 4 7	11.0%	1 0 0 8 4
Marinette Marquette	3 1 8 9 0 1 0 8 0 2	1 0 . 1 % 1 2 . 8 %	3 2 3 7 1 3 8 0
M e n o m i n e e	2760	11.8%	3 2 6
Milwaukee	7 3 4 7 0 0	10.0%	7 3 3 2 3
Monroe	28298	1 2 . 3 %	3 4 7 6
O c o n t o O n e i d a	2 4 2 5 7 2 6 7 2 9	1 0 . 2 % 1 1 . 0 %	2 4 8 1 2 9 4 5
O u t a g a m i e	113557	10.6%	11982
Ozaukee	61974	9.8%	6077
Pepin	5 2 6 2	12.0%	631
Pierce Polk	2 6 6 7 3 2 7 0 2 2	1 1 . 1 % 1 0 . 0 %	2 9 6 5 2 7 0 0
Portage	5 1 5 5 3	1 2 . 7 %	6548
Price	11916	11.3%	1 3 4 3
Racine Richland	134948	8.8%	1 1 8 4 8 1 3 6 4
Rock	1 3 5 8 3 1 0 6 9 5 3	1 0 . 0 % 9 . 6 %	1 0 3 0 4
Rusk	1 1 5 2 7	9.9%	1 1 4 1
St. Croix	40831	10.6%	4 3 0 8
Sauk Sawyer	3 8 9 1 1 1 1 8 5 1	9 . 1 % 1 1 . 1 %	3 5 2 5 1 3 1 1
S h a w a n o	28645	10.1%	2903
Sheboygan	8 0 5 0 0	8.0%	6 4 0 8
Taylor	1 4 2 3 7	11.8%	1681
Trempealeau Vernon	1 9 4 2 8 1 9 6 3 3	1 2 . 0 % 9 . 9 %	2 3 2 2 1 9 4 0
Vilas	15462	9.4%	1 4 5 2
Walworth	6 4 3 6 3	10.9%	7033
Washburn Washington	1 1 4 9 7 8 6 5 8 7	9 . 6 % 7 . 7 %	1 1 0 3 6 6 6 8
Washington Waukesha	260072	8.8%	22958
Waupaca	37677	13.3%	5 0 2 3
Waushara	15944	12.8%	2046
Winnebago Wood	115069	1 1 . 6 % 1 1 . 9 %	1 3 3 7 1 6 8 4 7
W 0 0 d State	3931574	10.2%	402946
		10.270	.02270

Adult Population: 2000 projections from the 1990 Census; these figures are 2 percent less than those released in Census 2000. Composite Rate: The rate of substance abuse or dependency in the adult population using the composite estimate approach. Composite Estimate: Estimated number of adults with substance abuse or dependency treatment needs; an average of the synthetic and direct estimation figures using the composite method discussed in this report.